## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC. 14200 SHADY GROVE ROAD ROCKVILLE MD 20850

**COPY MAILED** 

JUL 2 5 2006

Applicant: Jonak et al. Appl. No.: 10/757,450

Filing Date: January 5, 2004

Title: NOVEL INTEGRIN LIGAND ITGL-TSP

Attorney Docket No.: PF459C2 Pub. No.: US 2005/0208618 A9 Pub. Date: September 22, 2005 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

This is a decision on the request for a corrected patent application publication under 37 CFR 1.221(b), filed on November 14, 2005, for the above-identified application

The request is DISMISSED.

Applicant requests that the application be republished because the patent application publication contains a material error in claim 16, clause (b), last line, wherein "which were not contacted for ITGL-TSP polypeptide activity" is misprinted as "which were not contacted or ITGL-TSP polypeptide activity".

37 CFR 1.221 (b) is applicable "only when the Office makes a material mistake which is apparent from Office records.... Any request for a corrected publication or revised patent application publication other than provided as provided in paragraph (a) of this section must be filed within two months from the date of the patent application publication. This period is not extendable." A material mistake must affect the public's ability to appreciate the technical disclosure of the patent application publication, to determine the scope of the patent application publication, or to determine the scope of the provisional rights that an applicant may seek to enforce upon issuance of a patent. <sup>1</sup>

The error noted by requestor wherein "which were not contacted for ITGL-TSP polypeptide activity" is misprinted as "which were not contacted or ITGL-TSP polypeptide activity" is an Office error but the mistake is not a material error under 37 CFR 1.221(b). The error is a typographical error, which is clearly understandable to one of ordinary skill in the art in reading the specification, as the error occurs in a description of the figures. The mistake is a minor typographical, which does not affect the understanding of the dependent claim. The mistake does not affect the public's ability to appreciate the technical disclosure of the patent application

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Changes to Implement Eighteen-Month Publication of Patent Applications, 65 FR 57023, 57038 (Sept. 20, 2000), 1239, Off. Gaz. Pat. Office Notices 63, 75 (Oct. 10, 2000) (final rule).

Application No.: 10/757,450 Page 2

publication, or determine the scope of the patent application publication or determine the scope of the provisional rights that an applicant may seek to enforce upon issuance of a patent.

The error may have been due to the quality of the text in the application. The text in the application is small and not clearly legible, which makes it difficult to read and difficult to electronically reproduce. Applicants have been advised to file applications having cleaner and larger text with sufficient clarity and contrast to permit reproduction, such as electronic reproduction by digital imaging and optical character recognition, which will avoid errors in the patent application publication process. See 37 CFR 1.52. Patent application publications with errors, which are due to the legibility of the text in the application will not be corrected by corrected publication under 37 CFR 1.221(b).

The applicant is advised that a "request for republication of an application previously published" may be filed under 37 CFR 1.221 (a). Such a request for republication "must include a copy of the application compliance with the Office's electronic filing system requirements and be accompanied by the publication fee set forth in § 1.18 (d) and the processing fee set forth in § 1.17 (i)." If the request for republication does not comply with the electronic filing system requirements, the republication will not take place and the publication fee set forth in § 1.18 (d) will be refunded. The processing fee will be retained.

Any request for republication under 37 CFR 1.221(a), must be submitted via the EFS system and questions or request for reconsideration of the decision, should be addressed as follows:

By mail to: Mail Stop PGPUB

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

By facsimile: 571-273-8300

Inquiries relating to this matter may be directed to Mark Polutta at (571) 272-7709 (voice).

Mark Polutta

Senior Legal Advisor

(he o-Path

Office of Patent Legal Administration Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy